MyPAM Modelling

1. Forward Kinematics

|  |
| --- |
|  |
| Figure 1.1: insert useful description here |

The End Effector (Hand) position is given by:

The Elbow position is given by:

The Shoulder (origin) position is given by:

1. Inverse Kinematics

|  |
| --- |
|  |
| Figure 2.1: insert useful description here |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | Eqn 2.1 |

By the Cosine rule:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | Eqn 2.2 |
|  | Eqn 2.3 |
|  | Eqn 2.4 |
|  | Eqn 2.5 |

|  |
| --- |
|  |
| Figure 2.2: insert useful description here |

By the Cosine rule:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | Eqn 2.6 |
|  | Eqn 2.7 |
|  | Eqn 2.8 |

1. Assigning Denavit-Hartenberg Frames to obtain the Homogeneous Transformation Matrix

|  |
| --- |
|  |
| Figure 3.1: The assigned Denavit-Hartenberg frames |

Populating the Denavit-Hartenberg parameter table:

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **θ** | **α** | **r** | **d** |
| **1** | θ0 | 0 | a2 | a1 |
| **2** | θ1 | 0 | a4 | a3 |

Form the Homogeneous Transformation Matrices, given by Equation 3.1:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | Eqn 3.1 |

Thus:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | Eqn 3.2 |
|  | Eqn 3.3 |

The Homogenous Transformation Matrix to transform from the base frame to the end effector frame is given by Equation 3.4:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | Eqn 3.4 |

Thus:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | Eqn 3.5 |

Consider the trigonomic identities shown by Equations 3.6 and 3.7:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | Eqn 3.6 |
|  | Eqn 3.7 |

Thus:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | Eqn 3.8 |

The Homogenous Transformation Matrix contains information about the rotational transformation and the displacement transformation, as shown by Equation 3.9:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | Eqn 3.9 |

Thus:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | Eqn 3.10 |
|  | Eqn 3.11 |
|  | Eqn 3.12 |

1. Forming the Jacobian Matrix using the Homogenous Transformation Matrices

The Jacobian Matrix for MyPAM relates how movements of **θ** cause movements of **X**, as shown by Equation 4.5:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | Eqn 4.1 |
|  | Eqn 4.2 |
|  | Eqn 4.3 |
|  | Eqn 4.4 |
|  | Eqn 4.5 |

The Jacobian Matrix is formed using the information shown in table 4.1:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | Prismatic | Revolute |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Thus, the Jacobian Matrix to transform joint velocities into cartesian end effector velocities is given by Equation 4.6:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | Eqn 4.6 |
|  | Eqn 4.7 |
|  | Eqn 4.8 |
|  | Eqn 4.9 |
|  | Eqn 4.10 |
|  | Eqn 4.11 |
|  | Eqn 4.12 |

Thus, the entire Jacobian Matrix for the end effector is given by Equation 4.13:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | Eqn 4.13 |

Substituting a2 = L0 and a4 = L1:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | Eqn 4.14 |

1. Forming the Jacobian Matrix using Partial Differentiation

It is useful to check the Jacobian Matrix shown in Equation 4.11 by forming the Matrix using a different method and comparing them.

The Jacobian Matrix for MyPAM relates how movements of **θ** cause movements of **X**, as shown by Equation 5.1:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | Eqn 5.1 |

Considering only the x and y positions of the end effector, (x2, y2), since there is no change in z:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | Eqn 5.2 |

From Equation 1.1:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | Eqn 5.3 |
|  | Eqn 5.4 |
|  | Eqn 5.5 |
|  | Eqn 5.6 |
|  | Eqn 5.7 |
|  | Eqn 5.8 |

This gives:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | Eqn 5.9 |

It can be seen that the Jacobian Matrix shown by Equation 5.9 matches the top 2 rows shown by Equation 4.14, thus the 2X2 relationship shown by Equation 5.10 is proven (which is expected since the system is planar):

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | Eqn 5.10 |

1. Using Energy Equivalence to obtain a Jacobian Torque-Force Relationship

Conservation of energy is a property of all physical systems. This useful property means that the energy expended is the same in both operational space (cartesian space) and generalised coordinate space (joint space).

Work is the application of Force over a distance:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | Eqn 6.1 |
|  | Eqn 6.2 |
|  | Eqn 6.3 |
|  | Eqn 6.4 |
|  | Eqn 6.5 |

Power is the rate of work:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | Eqn 6.6 |
|  | Eqn 6.7 |

Equating power:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | Eqn 6.8 |

Substitute Equation 4.4:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | Eqn 6.9 |
|  | Eqn 6.10 |
|  | Eqn 6.11 |

Thus, there are now 2 uses for the Jacobian Matrix.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | Eqn 4.4 |
|  | Eqn 6.11 |

Equation 4.4 equates end effector velocities to joint velocities. Equation 6.11 equates end effector forces to joint torques.

1. Accounting for Mass, Inertia and Gravity

It is necessary to account for inertia, mass and gravity in order to build a stable and accurate control signal for a normal system. For MyPAM it is possible to ignore gravity, since the system is planar.

Equation 7.1 characterises the Motor Torque Vector:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | Eqn 7.1 |

Where:

This allows the opportunity to rearrange for a Joint Torque control signal:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | Eqn 7.2 |

Where:

It is clear that setting the control signal equal to the torque vector shown in Equation 6.11 is not sufficient, since it does not account for the full dynamics of the MyPAM.

7a. Dealing with Mass and Inertia

The mass distribution for the MyPAM is shown by figure 7a.1:

|  |
| --- |
|  |
| Figure 7a.1: Mass distribution for the MyPAM |

Where:

COM1 = Centre of mass of Link L0.

COM2 = Centre of mass of Joint J1 (motor and gearbox).

COM3 = Centre of mass of Link L1.

COM4 = Centre of mass of end effector.

Figure 7a.2 shows the added Denavit-Hartenberg frames added to the centre of mass of the links:

|  |
| --- |
|  |
| Figure 7a.2: Mass distribution for the MyPAM with added frames |

A Jacobian Matrix must be found for each centre of mass. The first step is to find the Homogenous Transformation Matrix to transform the base frame to each centre of mass.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | Eqn 7a.1 |
|  | Eqn 7a.2 |
|  | Eqn 7a.3 |
|  | Eqn 7a.4 |
|  | Eqn 7a.5 |
|  | Eqn 7a.6 |
|  | Eqn 7a.7 |
|  | Eqn 7a.8 |
|  | Eqn 7a.9 |
|  | Eqn 7a.10 |

Thus, the Homogenous Transformation Matrixes can be formed:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | Eqn 7a.11 |
|  | Eqn 7a12 |
|  | Eqn 7a.13 |
|  | Eqn 7a.14 |

Which allows the formation of the overall Homogeneous Transformation Matrices for each COM:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | Eqn 7a.15 |
|  | Eqn 7a.16 |

Thus, the four Jacobian Matrices can be found (note that the Jacobian Matrix for COM4 is the same as the Jacobian Matrix for the end effector for obvious reasons):

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | Eqn 7a.17 |
|  | Eqn 7a.17 |
|  | Eqn 7a.18 |
|  | Eqn 7a.19 |

With the Jacobians found for each COM, it is necessary to consider the energy in the system. The total energy in the system can be calculated as the sum of energy from each source. The Jacobians are used to calculate the kinetic energy each link generates during motion.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | Eqn 7a.20 |
|  | Eqn 7a.21 |
|  | Eqn 7a.22 |
|  | Eqn 7a.23 |

Where:

mi is the mass of COMi.

Equation 7a.23 shows the Mass/Inertia Matrix in Cartesian space. It is necessary to find its equivalent in Joint space.

The effects of mass of each component must be calculated and summed:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | Eqn 7a.24 |

Substitute the Jacobian relationship **:**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | Eqn 7a.25 |
|  | Eqn 7a.26 |
|  | Eqn 7a.27 |

Which contains the definition of the overall Mass/Inertia Matrix in Joint Space, shown by Equation 7a.28:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | Eqn 7a.28 |

7b. Accounting for gravity (just for completeness)

Again, using conservation of energy (work done is the same in all coordinate systems).

In cartesian coordinates, shown by Equation 7b.1:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | Eqn 7b.1 |

Where:

**F**gi is the gravitational force on each segment (simply -9.81 multiplied by the mass of the segment along the z-axis).

In Operational (joint) space, shown by Equation 7b.2:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | Eqn 7b.2 |

Substitute the Jacobian relationship and equate Equations 7b.1 and 7b.2:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | Eqn 7b.3 |
|  | Eqn 7b.4 |
|  | Eqn 7b.4 |

None of this is necessary for MyPAM, since it is planar in the xy plane.